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WELCOME MESSAGE

Dear Colleagues,

On behalf of the Programme Committee, we warmly welcome you to the 6th World Conference on Research Integrity, co-organized by the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, and RMIT University, Melbourne.

This is the 6th in a series of conferences – with the first held in Lisbon in 2007 – that have built up a strong tradition of inspiring and lively interactions between all stakeholders involved in fostering the responsible conduct of research. Participants come from a wide array of disciplines and countries from all continents, including researchers, teachers, leaders of funding agencies, government officials, journal editors, senior administrators, and research students. Over the past 12 years, the conference focus has evolved, from understanding the key elements of research integrity, to considering international practices and now increasingly exploring empirical evidence from research on research integrity and responsible conduct of research.

The evolving nature of the field is reflected in the theme of the 6th WCRI, New Challenges for Research Integrity. Significant changes are occurring in how research is conducted, and the ways in which we influence research cultures towards responsible conduct and research integrity are also changing. We hope to advance discussions on key issues and to explore the application of research findings to develop new and better solutions that address the challenges we face. We also hope that the ‘Hong Kong Manifesto for Assessing Researchers’ can serve as a set of guiding principles to help institutions to improve the current system of faculty incentives and rewards.

We wish to express our appreciation for the help provided by the World Conferences on Research Integrity Foundation in preparing for this conference over the past two years, and the generous support of our sponsors, enabling reasonable registration fees and also more than 50 travel grants for active participants from emerging and developing countries.

We hope you will find the 6th WCRI both enjoyable and stimulating, and that it offers another key step in our research integrity journey.

Co-chairs,

Mai Har Sham  Daniel Barr  Lex Bouter
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GENERAL INFORMATION

CONFERENCE VENUE
Grand Hall, Lee Shau Kee Lecture Centre
Centennial Campus, The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

The most convenient way to reach the Grand Hall is from the MTR station (HKU station – Exit C1). Participants who are staying at hotels near the campus are also recommended to enter the University through the HKU MTR station. The conference homepage (https://wcrl2019.org/index/venue/conference-venue-hku) has a detailed guide to show how participants can reach the Grand Hall from the HKU MTR station.
REGISTRATION DESK
The registration desk is located outside the Grand Hall, and it will be open at the following times:
June 2, 2019 (Sunday): 8am – 8pm       June 4, 2019 (Tuesday): 8am – 4:30pm
June 3, 2019 (Monday): 8am – 7:30pm     June 5, 2019 (Wednesday): 8am – 6pm

On-site registration (to be paid by credit card only) can be processed at the registration desk.

The registration fee includes admission to all scientific sessions, the exhibition and poster areas, a conference bag, coffee breaks and lunches, and the welcome reception on June 2, 2019. There is an additional cost for the banquet dinner on June 4, 2019. The accompanying person fee includes admission to the welcome reception and the banquet dinner; admission to the scientific sessions is not included.

You can leave your belongings in the cloakroom. Please contact the staff at the registration desk for assistance.

LANGUAGE AND TIME ZONE
The official language of the 6th WCRI 2019 Conference is English. All time references within this Programme Book are in Hong Kong Time (HKT; GMT+8).

NAME BADGES
All participants and accompanying persons will receive a personal name badge upon registration. Participants are required to wear their badges visibly during the conference. Collection of lunch packs, joining the boat trip and admission to the banquet dinner will be by tickets.

DRESS CODE
Smart casual.

SPEAKERS AT PLENARY, SYMPOSIUM, FOCUS TRACK AND CONCURRENT SESSIONS
All speakers must bring their PowerPoint presentation file on a USB stick and pass it to the technical assistant(s) at the Speakers Ready Room (room LG.17, see floor plan on page 13) at least 4 hours before the session starts. Please note that there are no facilities to use your own laptop in the lecture rooms. The Speakers Ready Room will be open at the following times:
June 2, 2019 (Sunday): 8am – 8pm       June 4, 2019 (Tuesday): 8am – 4:30pm
June 3, 2019 (Monday): 8am – 7:30pm     June 5, 2019 (Wednesday): 8am – 5pm
**POSTER PRESENTERS**

Two rounds of poster display will be arranged in the poster area (rooms LG.07 and LG.10 (see floor plan on page 13)). Presenters should mount the poster to the poster board that corresponds with the Poster Code at the designated time, and be present during the poster walks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time slot to mount poster on poster board</th>
<th>Poster display period</th>
<th>Poster walks</th>
<th>Time slot to dismount poster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9am-4pm on June 2</td>
<td>4pm on June 2 to 5pm on June 3</td>
<td>12:30pm to 2pm on June 3</td>
<td>5-6pm on June 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6-7:30pm on June 3, or 8-9am on June 4</td>
<td>9am on June 4 to 5pm on June 5</td>
<td>12:30pm to 2pm on June 4</td>
<td>5-6:30pm on June 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All posters that have not been dismounted during the designated time will be removed by the conference staff and kept at the registration desk until the end of the conference. All uncollected posters after the conference will be destroyed.

**EXHIBITION AREA**

The exhibition area (see floor plan on page 13) will be open throughout the conference.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS AND CHANGES**

A message board will be set up near the registration desk. Please check this board daily for any announcements and updates on the programme. The announcements will also be published on the conference website and Twitter.

**FOOD AND BEVERAGES**

There are 3 coffee points near the Grand Hall where coffee and tea will be served during the conference hours. Water machines will also be available and participants are encouraged to use the water bottle provided in the conference bag.

Lunch will be served in the 5 catering points (4 on LG level and 1 on 3/F). Upon registration you will receive lunch vouchers for each day, which you have to hand over when you pick up your lunch pack. The welcome reception, lunches and banquet dinner will include vegetarian items.
PHOTOGRAPHER
An official photographer has been engaged. By registering for this conference, you agree to have your picture taken and for it to be used in the conference related materials and websites.

EVALUATION FORMS
Evaluation forms will be sent to participants by email at the end of each day during the conference. Please spare time to complete the evaluation forms, which will help to plan for future conferences.

DISCLAIMER
The information is correct at the time of printing. The organizers reserve the right to alter or cancel, without prior notice, any arrangements, timetables, plans or other items relating directly or indirectly to the conference for any cause beyond their reasonable control, and will not assume any responsibility for accidents, losses or damages, as well as delays to or modifications of the conference programme.

INSURANCE AND LIABILITIES
Participants shall be regarded in every aspect as carrying their own risk for loss or injury to person or property. The organizers will not be responsible for any injury, loss, damage, accident to property or additional expenses incurred as a result of delays or changes in air, rail, sea, road, or other services, strikes, sickness, weather, acts of terrorism and any other cause. Participants are advised to arrange adequate travel, liability and health care insurances.
INTERNET ACCESS
Wireless Internet is offered complimentary to all participants. Please select one of the following WiFi networks for access to the Internet:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>WiFi Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitors from eduroam institutions</td>
<td>Eduroam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other visitors</td>
<td>Wi-Fi.HK via HKU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(no username and password is needed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ONLINE ABSTRACT BOOK

RECORDED SESSIONS
All plenary sessions of the 6th WCRI will be recorded. The videos of plenary lectures will be posted on YouTube after the conference with a link on the conference website, and PDFs of the presentation slides will be published on the conference website, unless no permission is granted to do so.

TWITTER
The official twitter account of the 6th WCRI is @WCRI2019. Please follow @WCRI2019 to follow all tweets of the conference and use #WCRI2019 to tweet and share conference related information, videos, comments or pictures.

WEBSITE
Please make sure you check the conference website http://www.wcri2019.org/ before and during the conference for detailed information about the conference programme, announcements and latest updates.
BANKS AND CURRENCY
Major banks are open from 9am to 5pm Monday to Friday, and 9am to 12:30pm on Saturday. They close on Sundays and public holidays. Legal tender is the Hong Kong Dollar (HKD). Since the mid-1980s, the value of the Hong Kong dollar has been pegged at HKD7.8 to the US dollar, and consequent rates of exchange to other currencies. However, the market rate exchange to the US dollar fluctuates marginally. As at late April 2019, the Euro/HKD exchange rate is 1 HKD = 0.11 Euro.

ELECTRICITY
The standard electrical voltage in Hong Kong is 220 volts AC, 50Hz, so you will need an adaptor for your 100-volt appliances and electrical equipment.

OPENING HOURS
The vast majority of shops are open every day throughout the year. Generally, opening hours are 10am to 7pm. However many stores in busy retail areas such as Causeway Bay and Tsim Sha Tsui stay open until 9:30pm or even later, particularly on weekends. Shops in Central close as early as 7pm.

TIPS
Most restaurants will levy a 10% service charge but waiters will expect to be given some loose change. Restaurants, that do not add a service charge will expect a 10% tip. However, tipping is left to your discretion. Hotel porters, restroom and door attendants and taxi drivers will happily accept loose change.

TRANSPORTATION
Public transportation via bus, ferry or train is efficient, relatively inexpensive and user-friendly. The system covers extensive areas of Hong Kong with signs in English and Chinese. For details about the Airport Express and train services, please visit www.mtr.com.hk.

WEATHER
Hong Kong has a sub-tropical climate. Summer begins in June with an average temperature between 26°C and 31°C. It is hot, humid and sunny, with occasional showers and thunderstorms. Visit the website of the Hong Kong Observatory (https://www.hko.gov.hk/contente.htm) for the weather forecast.

USEFUL NUMBERS
Directory Enquiries: +852 1081 / 1083
Weather: +852 187 8200
Emergency Services (Police, Fire, Ambulance): +852 999
Hong Kong Tourism Board Visitor Hotline (8am - 6pm Hong Kong Time): +852 2508 1234
Hong Kong International Airport, English (24 hours): +852 2181 0000
Poster Area (LG.07 and LG.10)
Lifts and escalator to Grand Hall and other rooms on LG level.

The Jockey Club Tower

Run Run Shaw Tower

Catering Point

CPD-3.04

CPD-3.21-24

CPD-3.28

CPD-3.29
**Programme on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Registration (08:00 – 20:00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td><strong>Plenary A: New challenges in research integrity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Chair: Mai Har Sham)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guoqing Dai: Concerted efforts for coping with new challenges for research integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Finkel: Actions to advance research integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00-20:00</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed Programme - June 2, 2019 (Sunday)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 20:00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30 – 17:00</td>
<td>Opening ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00 – 18:00</td>
<td><strong>Plenary A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00 – 20:00</td>
<td>Welcome reception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Plenary A

- **New challenges in research integrity**
  - Chair: Mai Har Sham

- **Concerted efforts for coping with new challenges for research integrity**
  - Guoqing Dai, Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, Beijing

- **Actions to advance research integrity**
  - Alan Finkel, Australia’s Chief Scientist, Canberra
## Programme on June 3, 2019 (Monday)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Grand Hall</th>
<th>LG.08</th>
<th>LG.09</th>
<th>LG.18</th>
<th>CPD-3.04</th>
<th>CPD-3.28</th>
<th>LG.34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Registration (08:00 – 19:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>Plenary B: Integrity in innovation and impact (Chair: Zoë Hammatt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charlie Day: Research, risk, and trust: translating ideas for impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maura Hiney: Integrity challenges in evaluating the path to impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maria Leptin: What innovation can tell us about responsible conduct of research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>SY1</td>
<td>SY2</td>
<td>FT1</td>
<td>CC1</td>
<td>CC2</td>
<td>CC3</td>
<td>CC4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation of new codes of conduct for research integrity</td>
<td>Responsible authorship</td>
<td>Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (1st session)</td>
<td>Arts, humanities, social sciences</td>
<td>Attitudes 1</td>
<td>Principles and codes 1</td>
<td>Plagiarism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lex Bouter</td>
<td>Charon Pierson</td>
<td>Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney</td>
<td>Melissa Anderson</td>
<td>Sonia Vasconcelos</td>
<td>Christa Van Zyl</td>
<td>Iekuni Ichikawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>Lunch and Poster Walks (Group A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>Plenary C: Institutional assessment of researchers (Chair: Sabine Kleinert)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frank Miedema: Academic leadership and the transition to open science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Moher: Is the assessment of researchers fit for purpose?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mai Har Sham: Challenges in establishing a research culture that supports research excellence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>Mini-Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45</td>
<td>SY3</td>
<td>FT2</td>
<td>CC5</td>
<td>CC6</td>
<td>CC7</td>
<td>CC8</td>
<td>CC9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preprints: beneficial or harmful for research integrity and publication ethics? A debate</td>
<td>How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (1st session)</td>
<td>Behaviour</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Attitudes 2</td>
<td>Principles and codes 2</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sabine Kleinert and Chris Graf</td>
<td>David Moher</td>
<td>Elizabeth Heitman</td>
<td>Jennifer Byrne</td>
<td>Tony Mayer</td>
<td>Xiaoyong Shi</td>
<td>Danny Chan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:15</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:45-19:15</td>
<td>SY4</td>
<td>SY5</td>
<td>CC10</td>
<td>CC11</td>
<td>CC12</td>
<td>CC13</td>
<td>CC14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Researcher and institutional roles in resolving (and accepting) diverse views of responsible conduct of research</td>
<td>Misdirected allegations of breaches of research integrity</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Misconduct</td>
<td>Attitudes 3</td>
<td>Prevention 1</td>
<td>Predators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michele Garfinkel</td>
<td>Frits Rosendaal</td>
<td>Frederick Leung</td>
<td>Ovid Tzeng</td>
<td>Francis Kombe</td>
<td>Roxana Lescano</td>
<td>Susan Zimmerman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Programme - June 3, 2019 (Monday)

08:00 – 19:30
Registration desk

Plenary B
09:00 – 10:30
Grand Hall

Integrity in innovation and impact
Chair: Zoë Hammatt

Research, risk, and trust: translating ideas for impact
Charlie Day, Office of Innovation and Science Australia, Canberra

Integrity challenges in evaluating the path to impact
Maura Hiney, Health Research Board Ireland, Dublin

What innovation can tell us about responsible conduct of research
Maria Leptin, European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO), Heidelberg

10:30 – 11:00
Foyer
Break

Symposium
11:00 – 12:30
Grand Hall

Implementation of new codes of conduct for research integrity (SY1)
Lex Bouter (Convener)
René van Woudenberg, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam
Jillian Barr, Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra
Ton Hol, Utrecht University; and League of European Research Universities, Utrecht
Yu Shi, Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China, Beijing

Symposium
11:00 – 12:30
LG.08

Responsible authorship (SY2)
Charon Pierson (Convener)
Tracey Bretag, COPE; and University South Australia, Adelaide
Siu-wai Leung, University of Macau, Macau
Trevor Lane, COPE; and Edanz Group, Fukuoka

Focus track
11:00 – 12:30
LG.09

Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (1st session) (FT1)
Co-Chairs: Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney

Concurrent session
11:00 – 12:30
LG.18

Arts, humanities, social sciences (CC1)
Chair: Melissa Anderson

O-001 Research misconduct in non-empirical research – are there types of misconduct analogous to fabrication and falsification?
Søren Holm, University of Manchester, Manchester

O-002 Questionable research practices in the humanities. Evidence from a comprehensive focus group and survey study (the PRINT project)
Mads P. Sørensen, Aarhus University, Aarhus

O-003 Possibility of redefining fabrication and falsification in humanities
Tetsuji Iseda, Kyoto University, Kyoto

O-004 Interdisciplinary approach as a solution to address the emerging issues of academic integrity in behavioral and social sciences in Pakistan
Fahad Mahmood, University of the Punjab, Lahore

O-005 The humanities virtues project: bringing a virtue-based approach to responsible conduct of research training to the humanities
Chet McLeskey, Michigan State University, East Lansing
### Concurrent session
**Attitudes 1 (CC2)**
11:00 – 12:30
CPD-3.04

| O-006 | The ‘Singapore Statement on Research Integrity’ and academics’ perceptions of responsible research conduct  
Twan Huybers, UNSW, Canberra |
| O-007 | Academic capitalism, self-efficacy, and the change of attitude towards scientific misconduct: surveys on Chinese PhD graduates  
Yandong Zhao, Renmin University of China, Beijing |
| O-008 | Perception of research integrity on Taiwan university campuses: a comparison of administrators, faculties, and students  
Chien Chou, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu |
| O-009 | Research integrity of PhD-candidates in the Scandinavian countries. Results from 6 years of surveys  
Bjorn Hofmann, NTNU and University of Oslo, Oslo |
| O-100 | Opinion towards research integrity from researchers in Thailand: a cross-sectional survey  
Boosaree Titapiwatanakun, Mahidol University, Bangkok |

### Concurrent session
**Principles and codes 1 (CC3)**
11:00 – 12:30
CPD-3.28

| O-011 | Ensuring value in research international funders’ collaboration and development forum guiding principles  
Dorota Goble, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Southampton |
| O-012 | Between virtue ethics and professionalisation: varieties of self-regulation among scientific codes of conduct in Europe  
Hugh Desmond, KU Leuven, Leuven |
| O-013 | Strengthening research integrity in Australia  
Jillian Barr, National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra |
| O-014 | Sharing Information on the responsible conduct of research: a Canadian perspective  
Geziena Cynthia Fekken, Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario |
| O-015 | Practicing the Danish code of conduct for research integrity  
Rachel Douglas-Jones, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen |

### Concurrent session
**Plagiarism (CC4)**
11:00 – 12:30
LG.34

| O-016 | Perceptions of plagiarism by biomedical researchers: an online survey in Europe and China  
Nannan Yi, University of Leuven, Leuven |
| O-017 | Reviewing the prevalence of visual plagiarism within visual arts tertiary education in south east Asia  
Lisa Winstanley, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore |
| O-018 | Managing plagiarism and academic fraud in higher degree programmes  
Jerry Hoffman, Southern Institute of Technology, Invercargill |
| O-019 | A breakdown in communication: journal reactions to information about plagiarism and duplicate publications  
Debora Weber-Wulff, HTW Berlin, Berlin |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Track</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 14:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foyer</td>
<td><strong>Poster walks (Group A)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 14:00</td>
<td>Assesment 1 – Chair: Sonia Vasconcelos PM-001 – PM-012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG.07 and LG.10</td>
<td>Education/new approaches 1 – Chair: Zoë Hammatt PM-025 – PM-035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education and training 1 – Chair: Roxana Lescano PM-046 – PM-052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investigating research misconduct 1 – Chair: Michael Kalichman PM-060 – PM-071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceptions/attitudes 1 – Chair: Francis Kombe PM-084 – PM-095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Publication ethics/reproducibility 1 – Chair: Chris Graf PM-108 – PM-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tackling unethical practices 1 – Chair: Iekuni Ichikawa PM-133 – PM-143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency 1 – Chair: Susan Zimmerman PM-155 – PM-166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00 – 15:30</td>
<td>Plenary C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Hall</td>
<td><strong>Institutional assessment of researchers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Sabine Kleinert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Academic leadership and the transition to open science</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frank Miedema, Utrecht University, Utrecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Is the assessment of researchers fit for purpose?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Moher, University of Ottawa; and Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Challenges in establishing a research culture that supports research excellence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 – 15:45</td>
<td>Mini-break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foyer</td>
<td>** Symposium**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 17:15</td>
<td>Preprints: Beneficial or harmful for research integrity and publication ethics? A debate (SY3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Hall</td>
<td>Sabine Kleinert and Chris Graf (Conveners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Inglis, bioRxiv; and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heather Tierney, COPE; and American Chemical Society, Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debbie Sweet, Cell Press, Elsevier, Cambridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard Browman, Austevoll Research Station, Storebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 17:15</td>
<td><strong>Focus track</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG.08</td>
<td>How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (1st session) (FT2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: David Moher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 17:15</td>
<td><strong>Concurrent session</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG.09</td>
<td>Behaviour (CC5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Elizabeth Heitman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O-020 What traits of character do exemplary scientists value? Results from the scientific virtues survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Pennock, Michigan State University, East Lansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O-021 A cross-national, cross-field study of researcher personality and questionable research practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Bang Petersen, Aarhus University, Aarhus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O-022 The QUEST Center in Berlin – a laboratory for behavior change in academic biomedicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dinnagl Ulrich, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O-023 Funding research on research: addressing the need for greater relevance, scientific quality, integrity and efficiency in academic research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annelein Stax, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, The Hague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O-024 Selecting replication studies for funding – a small window of opportunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guillaume Macor, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, The Hague</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Concurrent session
15:45 – 17:15
LG.18

**Medical (CC6)**
Chair: Jennifer Byrne

**O-025**  
*The public sector's role in vaccine development: a case study of the ebola vaccine*  
Matthew Herder, Dalhousie University, Halifax

**O-026**  
*Annotating clinical trial publications to assess consort adherence: a feasibility study*  
Halil Kilicoglu, U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda

**O-027**  
*A high journal impact factor is associated with more changes in pre-registered outcome measures on ClinicalTrials.gov during or after study duration*  
Herm Lamberink, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht

**O-028**  
*Examining the impact of research misconduct, and delays to its correction, on vitamin K reviews and guidelines*  
Alison Avenell, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen

**O-029**  
*Assessment and prediction of questionable research practices in 163,000 randomized clinical trials*  
Herm Lamberink, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht

---

### Concurrent session
15:45 – 17:15
CPD-3.04

**Attitudes 2 (CC7)**
Chair: Tony Mayer

**O-030**  
*The relationship between questionable research practices and the perceptions of working conditions among researchers*  
Nick Allum, University of Essex, Colchester

**O-031**  
*Perceptions and prevalence of questionable research practices across research fields: findings from a large-scale multinational survey*  
Jesper Schneider, Aarhus University, Aarhus

**O-032**  
*Taiwanese and US graduate students’ alternative concepts of responsible conduct of research: a comparison study*  
Sophia Jui-An Pan, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu

**O-033**  
*Research climate in Nigerian universities: a comparative analysis of two universities with similar mandate*  
Job Nmadu, Federal University of Technology, Minna

---

### Concurrent session
15:45 – 17:15
CPD-3.28

**Principles and codes 2 (CC8)**
Chair: Xiaoyong Shi

**O-034**  
*Research integrity around the pacific rim: developing the APEC guiding principles for research integrity*  
Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne

**O-035**  
*Research integrity and research ethics experiences: a comparative study of Croatia, the Netherlands, and Spain*  
Natalie Evans, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam

**O-036**  
*Revision of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) white paper on safeguarding good scientific practice – embedding a new culture of research integrity*  
Sonja Ochsenfeld-Repp, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn

**O-037**  
*Research ethics in Russia: challenges for the Russian Science Foundation*  
Sergey Konovalov, Russian Science Foundation, Moscow

**O-038**  
*Scientific integrity requires science to think of itself: the Colombian experience in the design of a policy on ethics of research, bioethics and scientific integrity*  
Deyanira Duque Ortiz, Colciencias, Bogotá
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concurrent session</th>
<th>Education (CC9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 17:15</td>
<td>Chair: Danny Chan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O-039             | **Integrity reminders – a new approach about research integrity education**  
|                   | Weiping Yang, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing |
| O-040             | **Will games kill off textbooks? Assessing existing research integrity educational resources within the European context**  
|                   | Daniel Pizzolato, KU Leuven, Leuven |
| O-041             | **Values-based learning modules on responsible conduct of research in undergraduate medical education**  
|                   | Nomar Alviar, San Beda University, Manila |
| O-042             | **Educational interventions support adoption of reproducible research practices**  
|                   | Ulf Toelch, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin |
| O-043             | **A missing component of research integrity pedagogy: expanding RCR education to include writing scientific prose**  
|                   | Joanna Johnson, University of Miami, Miami |

| 17:15 – 17:45     | **Break** |
| Foyer             |           |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symposium</th>
<th>Researcher and institutional roles in resolving (and accepting) diverse views of responsible conduct of research (SY4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 17:45 – 19:15 | Michele Garfinkel (Convener)  
| Grand Hall | Michele Garfinkel, EMBO, Heidelberg  
|           | Michael Imperiale, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor  
|           | Jianquan Guo, National Natural Science Foundation of China, Beijing |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symposium</th>
<th>Misdirected allegations of breaches of research integrity (SY5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 17:45 – 19:15 | Frits Rosendaal (Convener)  
| LG.08       | Frits Rosendaal, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden  
|            | Yvonne Erkens, Leiden University, Leiden  
|            | Ivan Oransky, Retraction Watch, New York |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concurrent session</th>
<th>Management (CC10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17:45 – 19:15</td>
<td>Chair: Frederick Leung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O-044             | **Implementing a culture of integrity: styles in governing research integrity in university environments**  
|                   | Ulrike Felt, University of Vienna, Vienna |
| O-045             | **Implementation of ombudspersons and improvement of their work: to dos and don’ts**  
|                   | Helga Nolte, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg |
| O-046             | **Managing responsible research? Ideals and evaluations of biomedicine at the institutional, research group and individual level**  
|                   | Jochem Zuijderwijk, Leiden University, Leiden |
| O-047             | **Investigating responsible research in Finland: research integrity barometer 2018 and the 2015–2019 surveys of (in)appropriate feedback**  
|                   | Anni Sairio, TENK & TJNK, Helsinki |
| O-048             | **ENRIO (European Network of Research Integrity Offices): recommendations on how to investigate research misconduct – a handbook**  
|                   | Torkild Vinther, ENRIO, Lommedalen |
### Misconduct (CC11)

**Chair:** Ovid Tzeng

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-049</td>
<td>Own it! Deliberating serious research misconduct at institutions where the misconduct occurred</td>
<td>Christian Simon, Duke University, Durham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-050</td>
<td>Lessons from an analysis of 150 recent real life cases of research misconduct</td>
<td>Abdi Shila, University of Leuven, Leuven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-051</td>
<td>Personalising impersonal science – a narrative-ethical analysis of some integrity issues</td>
<td>Jos Kole, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-052</td>
<td>Self-reported occurrence and factors associated with research misconduct among HIV researchers in Kenya</td>
<td>Edwin Were, Moi University, Eldoret</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-053</td>
<td>Research misconduct in dissertations and scientific publications in Russia</td>
<td>Vasily Vlassov, HSE, Moscow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Attitudes 3 (CC12)

**Chair:** Francis Kombe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-054</td>
<td>Major and minor research misbehaviours according to academic researchers in Amsterdam – a mixed method study</td>
<td>Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-055</td>
<td>Research misconduct among health and life sciences publications: a systematic review of retracted articles from emerging institutions</td>
<td>Rafaelly Stavale, Universidade De Brasilia, Brasilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-056</td>
<td>Measuring researcher allegiance in research on psychosocial interventions</td>
<td>Whitney Yoder, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-057</td>
<td>The perceived FFP-QRP hierarchy – results from a large-scale survey among Norwegian researchers</td>
<td>Matthias Kaiser, University of Bergen, Bergen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-058</td>
<td>Effectiveness of active learning-based responsible conduct of research workshop in improving knowledge, attitude and behaviour among Malaysian researchers</td>
<td>De-Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia/Young Scientists Network-Academy of Sciences Malaysia, Serdang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Prevention 1 (CC13)

**Chair:** Roxana Lescano

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-059</td>
<td>Research misconduct: disease or symptom?</td>
<td>Michael Kalichman, UC San Diego, San Diego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-060</td>
<td>The perceived prevalence, cause, and prevention of research misconduct: results from a survey of faculty at America’s top 100 universities</td>
<td>Michael Reisig, Arizona State University, Phoenix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-061</td>
<td>Research quality development of the Thailand National Science and Technology Development Agency</td>
<td>Sudarath Luepongpatana, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Thailand, Bangkok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-062</td>
<td>National practices in research integrity in EU member states &amp; associated countries</td>
<td>Ignacio Baletzena, European Commission, Brussels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-063</td>
<td>How to foster integrity with prevention – a European role model</td>
<td>Martina Baravalle and Karl-Gerhard Strassl, University of Music and Performing Arts Vienna, Vienna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concurrent session  
**Predators (CC14)**
17:45 – 19:15  
LG.34

Chair: Susan Zimmerman

O-064  **Predators at the gates: citations to predatory journals in mainstream scientific literature**  
Rick Anderson, University of Utah, Salt Lake City

O-065  **Confronting predatory publishers and conference organizers: a firsthand account**  
Donna Romyn, Athabasca University, St Albert

O-066  **Selective citation in biomedical sciences: an overview of six research fields**  
Miriam Urlings, Maastricht University, Maastricht

O-067  **The monetary returns of adding false investigators to grant proposals**  
Eric Fong, University of Alabama, Huntsville

O-068  **Author misrepresentation of institutional affiliations: exploratory cross-sectional case study on secondary individual data**  
Vivienne C. Bachelet, Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH), Santiago
Programme on June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Grand Hall</th>
<th>LG.08</th>
<th>LG.09</th>
<th>LG.18</th>
<th>CPD-3.04</th>
<th>CPD-3.28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registration (08:00 – 16:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|         | **Plenary D: Solutions that make a difference**  
          *(Chair: Lex Bouter)* |       |       |       |          |          |

  - Joseph Cesario: A view of peer-review pre-registration at comprehensive results in social psychology
  - Christa Van Zyl: Developing research integrity capacity through training and networking in Africa
  - Ana Marušić: The Embassy of Good Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10:30</th>
<th>Break</th>
<th>SY6</th>
<th>FT2</th>
<th>FT1</th>
<th>CC15</th>
<th>CC16</th>
<th>CC17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers <em>(2nd session)</em></td>
<td>Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact <em>(2nd session)</em></td>
<td>Whistleblowers</td>
<td>Retractions</td>
<td>Prevention 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  - Hub Zwart and Guy Widdershoven
  - David Moher
  - Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney
  - Zoë Hammatt
  - Nick Steneck
  - Prasit Palittapongarnpim

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:30</th>
<th>Lunch, Poster Walks (Group B), and Meet the Experts</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14:00   | **Plenary E: Perspectives for funding agencies in shaping responsible research practices**  
          *(Chair: Mai Har Sham)* |       |       |       |          |          |

  - Anne-Marie Coriat: Trust and value in research – a systems perspective
  - Kylie Emery: Simplifying and strengthening responsible research practice – the Australian experience
  - Qikun Xue: Research integrity practice at Tsinghua University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15:30</th>
<th>Mini-Break</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:45</td>
<td><strong>Steneck-Mayer Lecture (Chair: Melissa Anderson)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  - Mark McMillan: Ethical and integrity dilemmas for engaging with humanity’s oldest living knowledge systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16:30</th>
<th>Boat Trip</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18:30 - 22:00</td>
<td>Banquet Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session/Track</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 16:30</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Registration desk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 – 10:30</td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Solutions that make a difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Chair: Lex Bouter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>A view of peer-review pre-registration at comprehensive results in social psychology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Joseph Cesario, Michigan State University, East Lansing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Developing research integrity capacity through training and networking in Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Christa Van Zyl, Human Sciences Research Council, Pretoria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>The Embassy of Good Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary D</td>
<td>Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 12:30</td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Institutional challenges in integrity education (SY6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Hub Zwart and Guy Widdershoven (Conveners)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Isidoros Karatzas, European Commission, Brussels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Caroline Gans Combe, INSEEC; and DEFORM, Paris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Hub Zwart, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Guy Widdershoven, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, EnTIRE and VIRT2UE, The Embassy of Good Science, Amsterdam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 12:30</td>
<td>Focus track</td>
<td>How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (2nd session) (FT2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focus track</td>
<td>Chair: David Moher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 12:30</td>
<td>Focus track</td>
<td>Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (2nd session) (FT1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focus track</td>
<td>Co-Chairs: Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 12:30</td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>Whistleblowers (CC15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>Chair: Zoë Hammatt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>O-069 Benchmarking research related complaints in Australia – an exercise to increase transparency and build public trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>O-070 The &quot;Murky Waters&quot; of questionable research practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>O-071 Perspective of the whistleblower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrent session</td>
<td>O-072 Reflections of a passionate and almost excommunicated scientist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Concurrent session**  
11:00 – 12:30  
CPD-3.04  

**Retractions (CC16)**  
Chair: Nick Steneck  

| O-073 | The impact of published incorrect scientific information on the knowledge production of scientific communities  
Lydia Liesegang, TU Berlin, Berlin |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| O-074 | What do we know about reasons for retraction and academic career perspectives?  
Jochem Zuijderwijk, Leiden University, Leiden |
| O-075 | An analysis of retracted articles with African authors or co-authors: possible implications for training and awareness raising  
Liapeng Matsau, Human Science Research Council, Pretoria |
| O-076 | Responses of institutions to wide-ranging concerns about research reported by a group of researchers with multiple retracted publications: a narrative review  
Andrew Grey, University of Auckland, Auckland |
| O-077 | The views of a group of funders/reviewers about the influence of retractions in the evaluation of grant proposals  
Mariana Ribeiro, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro |

**Concurrent session**  
11:00 – 12:30  
CPD-3.28  

**Prevention 2 (CC17)**  
Chair: Prasit Palittapongarnpim  

| O-078 | Back to basics: can early communication about good scientific practice help prevent misconduct?  
Michael Gommel, Institute for Systemic Ethics in Medicine and Organizations, Berlin |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| O-079 | Testing an active intervention to reduce questionable research practices  
Samuel Bruton, The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg |
| O-080 | How 2 survive academia – the self-help guide with evidence based interventions to become a responsible and happy researcher  
Joeri Tijdink, VU University/Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam |
| O-082 | Supporting researchers in cases of conflict – how ombudspersons contribute to the prevention of scientific misconduct  
Hjördis Czesnick, German Research Ombudsman, Berlin |

12:30 – 13:30  
LG.60-LG.62  

**Meet the experts**  
De Ming Chau (LG.60) - moderator: Jennifer Byrne  
Frank Miedema (LG.61) - moderator: Gerben ter Riet  
Deborah Poff (LG.62) - moderator: Joeri Tijdink  

12:30 – 14:00  
Foyer  

**Lunch**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 14:00</td>
<td><strong>Poster walks (Group B)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LG.07 and LG.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assessment 2 – Chair: Elizabeth Heitman</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment 2 – Chair: Elizabeth Heitman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-013 – PT-024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Education/new approaches 2 – Chair: Guochen Jia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education/new approaches 2 – Chair: Guochen Jia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-036 – PT-045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Education and training 2 – Chair: Benny Zee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education and training 2 – Chair: Benny Zee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-053 – PT-059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Investigating research misconduct 2 – Chair: Nicole Föger</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investigating research misconduct 2 – Chair: Nicole Föger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-072 – PT-083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Perceptions/attitudes 2 – Chair: Danny Chan</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceptions/attitudes 2 – Chair: Danny Chan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-096 – PT-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Publication ethics/reproducibility 2 – Chair: Sergio Litewka</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Publication ethics/reproducibility 2 – Chair: Sergio Litewka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-120 – PT-132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Tackling unethical practices 2 – Chair: Ana Marušić</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tackling unethical practices 2 – Chair: Ana Marušić</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-144 – PT-154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Transparency 2 – Chair: In Jae Lee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transparency 2 – Chair: In Jae Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT-167 – PT-178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00 – 15:30</td>
<td><strong>Plenary E</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**Perspectives for funding agencies in shaping responsible research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>practices**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Mai Har Sham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Trust and value in research – a systems perspective</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anne-Marie Coriat, Wellcome Trust, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**Simplifying and strengthening responsible research practice – the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australian experience**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kylie Emery, Australian Research Council, Canberra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Research integrity practice at Tsinghua University</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qikun Xue, Tsinghua University, Beijing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 – 15:45</td>
<td><strong>Mini-break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45 – 16:30</td>
<td><strong>Steneck-Mayer Lecture</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**Ethical and integrity dilemmas for engaging with humanity's oldest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>living knowledge systems**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Melissa Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark McMillan, RMIT University, Melbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30 – 18:30</td>
<td><strong>Boat trip</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30 – 22:00</td>
<td>**Banquet dinner at the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre, Kai Tak Cruise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terminal**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Programme on June 5, 2019 (Wednesday)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Grand Hall</th>
<th>LG.08</th>
<th>LG.09</th>
<th>LG.18</th>
<th>CPD-3.04</th>
<th>CPD-3.28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Registration (08:00 – 18:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 09:00 | Plenary F: Asia in focus: recent advances in fostering research integrity  
(Chair: Daniel Barr) | De Ming Chau: Fostering research integrity in Malaysia by young scientists  
In Jae Lee: Recent efforts and tasks for the Korean government to secure research ethics  
Li Tang: Integrity construction in China: challenges and prospects |                        |                        |                |                |
| 10:30 | Break      |                        |                        |                        |                |                |
| 11:00 | SY7 SY8 CC18 CC19 CC20 CC21  
Transparency 2025 – a panel discussion on new ideas to promote transparency in research  
Essential areas for better peer review: Equipping research journals for change – “integrity first, then impact”  
Research ethics and research methods  
Transparency 1  
Interventions  
Publishing 1 | Tracey Bretag  
Michael Willis  
Guochen Jia  
Michael Gommel  
Lida Anestidou  
Sabine Kleinert |                        |                        |                |                |
| 12:30 | Lunch and Meet the Experts |                        |                        |                        |                |                |
| 13:30 | Plenary G: Predatory publishing and other challenges of new models to share knowledge  
(Chair: Susan Zimmerman) | Deborah Poff: Complexities and approaches to predatory publishing  
Bhushan Patwardhan: Research integrity and publication ethics: Indian scenario  
Matt Hodgkinson: A view of predatory publishing from an open access publisher |                        |                        |                |                |
| 15:00 | Mini-Break |                        |                        |                        |                |                |
| 15:15 | SY9 SY10 CC22 CC23 CC24 CC25  
Fostering RI and social relevance of research findings: a global perspective through intercultural dialogue  
Data sharing and RCR: sharing industry experiences as part of the research transparency environment  
Training  
Transparency 2  
Checking data and images  
Publishing 2 | Costas Charitidis  
Tatjana Poplazarova and Francis Crawley  
El-Nasir MA Lalani  
Nicole Föger  
Jun Fudano  
Chris Graf |                        |                        |                |                |
| 16:45 | Break      |                        |                        |                        |                |                |
| 17:00-18:00 | Plenary H: Concluding plenary  
(Chair: Lex Bouter) |                        |                        |                        |                |                |
### Detailed Programme - June 5, 2019 (Wednesday)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 18:00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 – 10:30</td>
<td><strong>Plenary F</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Grand Hall         | Asia in focus: recent advances in fostering research integrity  
|                    | Chair: Daniel Barr                          |
|                    | Fostering research integrity in Malaysia by young scientists  
|                    | De Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang  
|                    | Recent efforts and tasks for the Korean government to secure research ethics  
|                    | In Jae Lee, Seoul National University of Education, Seoul  
|                    | Integrity construction in China: challenges and prospects  
|                    | Li Tang, Fudan University, Shanghai          |
| 10:30 – 11:00      | **Symposium**                               |
| Foyer              | Break                                       |
| 11:00 – 12:30      | **Symposium**                               |
| Grand Hall         | Transparency 2025 – a panel discussion on new ideas to promote transparency in research (SY7)  
|                    | Tracey Bretag (Convener)                    |
|                    | Maura Hiney, Health Research Board, Ireland, Dublin  
|                    | Ginny Barbour, Australasian Open Access Strategy Group, Brisbane  
|                    | Margie Jantti, Council of Australian University Librarians (CAUL), Wollongong  
|                    | Frederick Leung, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong          |
| LG.08              | Essential areas for better peer review: equipping research journals for change – “integrity first, then impact” (SY8)  
|                    | Michael Willis (Convener)                    |
|                    | Elizabeth Moylan, John Wiley & Sons, Oxford  
|                    | Zijian Zheng, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong  
|                    | Shayuti Adnan, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kualanerus  
|                    | Graeme Smith, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh          |
| 11:00 – 12:30      | **Concurrent session**                       |
| LG.09              | Research ethics and research methods (CC18)  
|                    | Chair: Guochen Jia                           |
|                    | O-083 From RE to RI: exploring the similarities and differences between research integrity and research ethics  
|                    | Raymond De Vries, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor |
|                    | O-084 Roles and responsibilities in a medical research ethics committee – a qualitative research study among members of a Dutch Medical Research Ethics Committee  
|                    | Rien Janssens, VUmc, Amsterdam               |
|                    | O-085 Research waste: why we need to rethink meta-analysis  
|                    | Judith ter Schure, CWI (Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica), Amsterdam |
|                    | O-086 Why we still use null hypothesis significance testing in empirical research  
|                    | Michiel de Boer, VU Amsterdam, Amsterdam     |
|                    | O-087 Multiple perspectives on inference for two simple statistical scenarios  
|                    | Noah van Dongen, University of Turin, Turin  |
### Transparency 1 (CC19)

**Chair:** Michael Gommel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-088</td>
<td><strong>Risks and benefits of data sharing from clinical trials: do participants see them the way an ethical review committee thinks they do?</strong></td>
<td>Sharon Freitag, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-089</td>
<td><strong>Open science for publications and data: chances and risks for research integrity</strong></td>
<td>Michele Leduc, CNRS, Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-090</td>
<td><strong>Data curation as a means to promote reproducibility and discoverability</strong></td>
<td>Christopher Hunter, GigaScience, BGI, Hong Kong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-091</td>
<td><strong>Effectiveness of data auditing as a tool to reinforce good research data management (RDM) practice</strong></td>
<td>Yusuf Ali, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-092</td>
<td><strong>Transparency and openness in research: a survey among researchers, peer reviewers and editors across scientific disciplines</strong></td>
<td>Mario Malicki, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interventions (CC20)

**Chair:** Lida Anestidou

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-093</td>
<td><strong>Ensuring minimum standards for responsible conduct of research in a resource poor setting – low cost solutions towards research integrity at Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre (SKMCH&amp;RC), Pakistan</strong></td>
<td>Farah Asif, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-094</td>
<td><strong>The use of embedded poll questions as a tool for assessing research integrity training and climate</strong></td>
<td>Nicholas H Steneck, Retired, Ann Arbor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-095</td>
<td><strong>Using the “List Experiment” to identify bias in surveys on questionable research practices</strong></td>
<td>Michael Bang Petersen, Aarhus University, Aarhus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-096</td>
<td><strong>A pilot study of the randomized response as a method to be used in a nation-wide survey on research integrity in The Netherlands</strong></td>
<td>Gowri Gopalakrishna, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-097</td>
<td><strong>Institutional re-engineering of ethical discourse in STEM (iREDS): a randomized field trial of ethics interventions</strong></td>
<td>Dena Plemmons, University of California, Riverside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concurrent session
11:00 – 12:30
CPD-3.28

**Publishing 1 (CC21)**
Chair: Sabine Kleinert

- **O-098** History of scientific publishing requirements: a systematic review and meta-analyses of studies analysing instructions to authors
  Ana Jeroncic, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

- **O-099** Global trends in research integrity and research ethics analysed through bibliometrics analysis of publications
  Michael Khor, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

- **O-100** Identifying and quantifying the level of questionable abstract publications at scientific meetings
  Harold Garner, VCOM, Blacksburg

- **O-101** Estimated prevalence of citation manipulation by reviewers based on the citation patterns of 69,000 reviewers
  Catriona Fennell, Elsevier, Amsterdam

- **O-102** Concealed homeopathy: a natural test of peer-review quality
  Alexander Panchin, Institute for Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

12:30 – 13:30
Lunch

12:30 – 13:30
LG.60-LG.62

**Meet the Experts**
Anne-Marie Coriat (LG.60) - moderator: Joeri Tijdink
Ana Marušić (LG.61) - moderator: Gerben ter Riet
David Moher (LG.62) - moderator: Jennifer Byrne

Plenary G
13:30 – 15:00
Grand Hall

**Predatory publishing and other challenges of new models to share knowledge**
Chair: Susan Zimmerman

- **Complexities and approaches to predatory publishing**
  Deborah Poff, COPE, Ottawa

- **Research integrity and publication ethics: Indian scenario**
  Bhushan Patwardhan, University Grants Commission, New Delhi

- **A view of predatory publishing from an open access publisher**
  Matt Hodgkinson, Hindawi, London

15:00 – 15:15
Mini-break

Symposium
15:15 – 16:45
Grand Hall

**Fostering RI and social relevance of research findings: a global perspective through intercultural dialogue (SY9)**
Costas Charitidis (Convener)
Kwanchanok Yimtae, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen
Peta Ashworth, University of Queensland, Brisbane
Francis Kombe, African Research Integrity Network; and KEMRI-Wellcome Trust, Kilifi
Isidoros Karatzas, European Commission, Brussels
Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens, Athens
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Symposium</strong></th>
<th><strong>Data sharing and RCR: sharing industry experiences as part of the research transparency environment (SY10)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>15:15 – 16:45</strong></td>
<td>Tatjana Poplazarova and Francis Crawley (Conveners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LG.08</strong></td>
<td>Rebecca Li, Vivi, Cambridge MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priya Pavithran, GSK Vaccines, Brussels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slávka Baróniková, Takedá, Wilrijk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karen Woolley, Envision Pharma Group; and Research Integrity and Peer Review, Noosa Heads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haihong Zhang, Peking University, Beijing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorota Goble, UK National Institute of Health Research (NIHR); and EViR, Southampton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Concurrent session</strong></th>
<th><strong>Training (CC22)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>15:15 – 16:45</strong></td>
<td>Chair: El-Nasir MA Lalani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LG.09</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-103</td>
<td><strong>On the relative importance of training in research ethics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helene Ingierd, The Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Oslo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-104</td>
<td><strong>Training for research integrity and research ethics: a scoping review</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ružica Tokalić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-105</td>
<td><strong>Developing a face-to-face train-the-trainers program for fostering virtues in research integrity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giulia Inguaggiato, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-106</td>
<td><strong>Seminars on research integrity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Seadle, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-107</td>
<td><strong>Recognition of the precarious academic self – notes toward a performative virtue approach in professional RI training and its practical implications</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armin Schmolmueller, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity (ÖAWI), Vienna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Concurrent session</strong></th>
<th><strong>Transparency 2 (CC23)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>15:15 – 16:45</strong></td>
<td>Chair: Nicole Föger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LG.18</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-108</td>
<td><strong>Findings, conclusions, and recommendations from two U.S. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine’s reports: reproducibility and replicability in science and open science by design</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tom Arrison, U.S. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-109</td>
<td><strong>Monitoring open science developments in Europe: the experience of the open science monitor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thed van Leeuwen, Leiden University, Leiden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-110</td>
<td><strong>Ethical constraints on the implementation of institutional open research data management policy in a South African health research context: the push and pull</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lyn Horn, University of Cape Town, Cape Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-111</td>
<td><strong>The Brazilian reproducibility initiative: a multicenter systematic assessment of Brazilian biomedical science</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olavo Amaral, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-112</td>
<td><strong>Best practice in assessing research excellence by public research funders – quality over quantity!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tobias Grimm, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Concurrent session
### Checking data and images (CC24)
**15:15 – 16:45**
**CPD-3.04**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chair/Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-113</td>
<td>Image integrity in scientific publication</td>
<td>Jana Christopher, FEBS, Image-Integrity, Heidelberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-114</td>
<td>Impact of data availability on resolution of post-publication image concern cases</td>
<td>Renee Hoch, PLOS ONE, San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-115</td>
<td>Helping research misconduct investigations: methods for statistical certainty reporting of inappropriate figure reuse</td>
<td>Daniel Acuna, Syracuse University, Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-116</td>
<td>An image integrity database</td>
<td>Thorsten Beck, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-117</td>
<td>Semi-automated fact-checking of nucleotide sequence reagents in biomedical research publications: the seek &amp; blastn tool</td>
<td>Jennifer Byrne, The Children’s Hospital at Westmead, Westmead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Concurrent session
### Publishing 2 (CC25)
**15:15 – 16:45**
**CPD-3.28**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chair/Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-118</td>
<td>Use and impact of the ARRIVE guidelines: a survey of in vivo researchers worldwide</td>
<td>Nathalie Percie du Sert, NC3Rs, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-120</td>
<td>Sharing valid research: case study of an open-access publisher</td>
<td>Gearóid Ó Faoleáin, Frontiers, Lausanne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-121</td>
<td>The role of corresponding authors in different research cultures</td>
<td>Sonia Vasconcelos, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-122</td>
<td>Do the updated ICMJE authorship criteria make a difference?</td>
<td>Tamara Bates Anic, Bates Clinic, Zagreb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Plenary H
### Concluding plenary
**17:00 – 18:00**
**Grand Hall**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chair/Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report on focus tracks on 'Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact'</td>
<td>Maura Hiney, Health Research Board Ireland, Dublin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on progress with Registry for Research on the Responsible Conduct of Research</td>
<td>Klaas Sijtsma, Tilburg University, Tilburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hong Kong Manifesto for Assessing Researchers: fostering research integrity</td>
<td>David Moher, University of Ottawa; and Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa; and Paul Glasziou, Bond University, Gold Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcement of presentation awards for early-career scholars</td>
<td>Sonia Vasconcelos, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitation to the 7th World Conference on Research Integrity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farewell by Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POSTER AND PRESENTATION AWARDS

To encourage the active participation of junior scholars in the 6th WCRI, 10 poster and presentation awards for early-career scholars have been established. Individuals who have completed their most recent graduate training within the last 10 years will be considered as early-career scholars, i.e. completed their Master’s or Doctoral degrees between 2008 and 2018. Abstracts submitted by early-career scholars that are accepted for poster or oral presentation will be considered eligible for the awards.

In each category, a first-place award will be granted (US $2,000), along with 4 second-place prizes (US $1,200 each) in each category. The poster awards will be announced during the conference dinner on June 4, 2019. The presentation awards will be announced at the concluding plenary on June 5, 2019. The awards are supported by a grant from the Office of Research Integrity, Washington.
### LIST OF POSTERS

#### Assessment 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-001</th>
<th>Knowledge and attitude of dental professionals toward plagiarism in Mangalore city, India</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eby Aluckal, Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam, Ernakulam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-002</th>
<th>Research integrity – a study case on Romania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Octavian Andronic, The University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila Bucharest Romania, Bucuresti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-004</th>
<th>Are the professions a model for science? The issue of competence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hugh Desmond, KU Leuven, Leuven</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-005</th>
<th>What are the barriers to research? A nationwide institutional assessment of faculty working in medical colleges in India</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vishal Dogra, Piramal Swasthya Management and Research Institute, Hyderabad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-006</th>
<th>Disciplinary specific detrimental research practices in the Dutch academic setting – results from a focus group study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gowri Gopalakrishna, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-007</th>
<th>Assessing and raising concerns about duplicate data publication, authorship misconduct and data errors in preclinical research reported by researchers with multiple retractions of clinical research publications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Grey, University of Auckland, Auckland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-008</th>
<th>A different research world: lessons learnt from Europe for fostering equity based research in developing countries like Pakistan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mariam Hassan, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-009</th>
<th>Who are we? A descriptive analysis of research integrity personnel in the UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Henley, London School of Hygiene &amp; Tropical Medicine, London</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-010</th>
<th>Improving research integrity in a hospital in the developing country by a semi-independent clinical research unit: a preliminary qualitative study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominicus Husada, Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-011</th>
<th>Fuzzy-based multi-criteria decision making algorithm: an innovative tool for research institutions for evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vijeta Jha, Samvad Partners, Chennai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-012</th>
<th>Mechanisms of robust, innovative and translational research: introduction of new, responsible research indicators (QUEST criteria) for the institutional assessment of researchers (MERIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miriam Kip, Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Assessment 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PT-013</th>
<th>Gaps in ethical literacy in research among medical professionals in India: a mixed method study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charu Kohli, North Delhi Municipal Corporation Medical College and Hindu Rao Hospital, Delhi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PT-014 Development of research integrity in France has been very slow: has the introduction of research integrity officers since 2015 made a difference?  
Hervé Maisonneuve, H2MW, Paris

PT-015 Climate for research integrity at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore  
Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

PT-016 Successes and challenges of implementing new policy for promoting responsible conduct of research at the University of Botswana  
Dimpho Ralefala, University of Botswana, Gaborone

PT-017 Open data in biomedical research publications: a new responsible research metric to allocate performance-oriented funding at Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin  
Nico Riedel, QUEST – Center for Transforming Biomedical Research, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin

PT-018 Awareness of scientific misconduct among health care professionals  
Pooja Shetty, AJ Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore

PT-019 Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of pharmacy professionals and students towards plagiarism of scientific publications in Raipur city, India – a questionnaire study  
Deepika Singh, SHUATS, Naini, Allahabad

PT-020 Plagiarism in arts – aspects, similarities and boundaries  
Karl-Gerhard Strassl and Martina Baravalle, University of Music and Performing Arts Vienna, Vienna

PT-021 Intercultural differences in response and reciprocation an central Asian and European patients with acute stroke: data of the SITS-Stroke Registry in Kyrgyzstan  
Aishoola Sultanova, Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Bishkek

PT-022 Children in phase I trials in oncology: social value and clinical development success rate. A systematic review  
Marcin Waligora, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków

PT-023 The research about the awareness of medical research management personnel on research integrity and relevant management status  
Pengjun Zhang, Beijing Hospital, Beijing

PT-024 Optimizing the responsible researcher? A comparative study of practices of research assessment and ideals of responsible research at two biomedical institutions  
Jochem Zuijderwijk, Leiden University, Leiden

Education/new approaches 1

Chair: Zoë Hammatt

PM-025 The use of coaches to optimize research ethics and integrity  
Katrina Bramstedt, Luxembourg Agency for Research Integrity, Esch-sur-Alzette

PM-026 Luxembourg gets creative with the CAPRI model of research ethics and integrity education  
Katrina Bramstedt, Luxembourg Agency for Research Integrity, Esch-sur-Alzette

PM-027 The European Network of Research Ethics and Research Integrity (ENERI)  
Birgit Buschbom, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Vienna

PM-028 The new Greek law for the operation of research ethics and deontology committees: context and challenges  
Costas Charitidis, National Technical University of Athens, Athens
PM-029  Fostering a positive approach to promote responsible conduct of research with a playful on-line awareness tool
         Mylène Deschênes, The Quebec Research Funds, Montreal

PM-030  Research integrity: the responsibility of academic and clinical education
         Sandra F. Gomes, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto

PM-031  Meta-analysis: a missing component of RCR curricula and ethics studies of research methods
         Kenneth Goodman, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami

PM-032  Building a new health research ethics structure and system after a negative audit: the long journey to recovery
         Minrie Greeff, North-West University, Potchefstroom

PM-033  Ethics, theatre, and science: cross disciplinary fostering of ethics and science conversations
         Michael Kalichman, UC San Diego, San Diego

PM-034  Promoting research integrity in Africa: the moral responsibilities of research institutions toward research frontline staff
         Francis Kombe, African Research Integrity Network, Kilifi

PM-035  Research education and scholarly publishing: use of case approaches to improve engagement
         Simon Linacre, Cabells, Keighley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education/new approaches 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Guochen Jia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PT-036  Expert strategies on research integrity problem-solving
         Erika Löfström, University of Helsinki, Helsinki

PT-037  Ethics in the creative process: exploring ethical framing and timing on ethical and creative outcomes
         Alexandra MacDougall, Central Michigan University, Midland

PT-038  A roadmap for the “Standard Operating Procedures for Research Integrity” (SOPs4RI) project
         Niels Mejlgaard, Aarhus University, Aarhus

PT-039  Integrating Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) into regional policy
         Nhien Nguyen, Nordland Research Institute, Bodø

PT-040  The experimental design assistant: an interactive web-based tool to provide bespoke feedback on experimental plans.
         Nathalie Percie du Sert, NC3Rs, London

PT-041  Responsible conduct of research education should include issues of race and gender
         Lisa Rasmussen, University of North Carolina, Charlotte

PT-042  Creating research environments that ensure genomic research integrity
         Wayne Towers, North-West University (Potchefstroom campus), Potchefstroom

PT-043  INTEGRITY: searching building blocks for a teaching philosophy on RCR
         Mariëtte van den Hoven, Utrecht University, Utrecht

PT-044  Research integrity framework in Nanyang Technological University (NTU) for the promotion of responsible conduct of research
         Timothy White, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Institution/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-045</td>
<td>Institutional responsibilities and strategies for responsible conduct of human subject research: experiences and lessons learned at Peking University</td>
<td>Haihong Zhang</td>
<td>Peking University, Beijing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-046</td>
<td>Fostering research integrity in future researchers through discipline-tailored RCR courses for PhD students</td>
<td>Lone Bredahl Jensen</td>
<td>University of Southern Denmark, Odense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-047</td>
<td>A survey on fostering research integrity in Chinese universities</td>
<td>Wang Fei</td>
<td>Dalian University of Technology, Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-048</td>
<td>Research integrity course for PhD candidates at Tilburg University</td>
<td>Jan Jans</td>
<td>Tilburg University, Tilburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-049</td>
<td>Mainstreaming research ethics and integrity training as part of research education</td>
<td>Laetus Lategan</td>
<td>Central University of Technology, Bloemfontein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-050</td>
<td>How may I teach you something if I do not know what and how to do it? Evaluating existing research integrity training programs</td>
<td>Daniel Pizzolato</td>
<td>KU Leuven, Leuven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-051</td>
<td>Building a responsible conduct of research (RCR) training programme: a perspective from the University of Cape Town</td>
<td>Paula Saner</td>
<td>University of Cape Town, Cape Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-052</td>
<td>Education concept on research integrity in governmental research institute in Switzerland</td>
<td>Helmut Schift</td>
<td>Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), Villigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-053</td>
<td>Phronesis: a crucial decision-making skill for researchers conducting community research</td>
<td>Minrie Greeff</td>
<td>North-West University, Potchefstroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-054</td>
<td>Responsible research and innovation training programs: implementation and evaluation</td>
<td>Ružica Tokalić</td>
<td>University of Split School of Medicine, Split</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-055</td>
<td>Identification of essential virtues addressed in ethics and research integrity training: a scoping review</td>
<td>Vicko Tomić</td>
<td>University of Split School of Medicine, Split</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-056</td>
<td>Designing and implementing research integrity online training</td>
<td>Anne Walsh</td>
<td>Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-057</td>
<td>Training early career scientists to use meta-research to understand and solve problems: a participant-guided “Learn by Doing” approach</td>
<td>Tracey Weissgerber</td>
<td>Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-058</td>
<td>Using training to positively reinforce best practice in research</td>
<td>Catherine Winchester</td>
<td>CRUK Beatson Institute, Glasgow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The education of responsible conduct of research for the students in both general and medical universities
Akinori Yamabe, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi

**Investigating research misconduct 1**

Chair: Michael Kalichman

**PM-060** Lessons learned from a misconduct case with deception and obstructive efforts
Ranjinidevi Ambalavanar, Office of Research Integrity, Rockville

**PM-061** Analysis of research misconduct in various academic programs of universities in Nepal
Suresh Baral, Pokhara University, Kaski

**PM-062** Hyperprolific authorships: lessons learnt from the investigation of a research misconduct case
Annette Birkeland, The Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Oslo

**PM-063** Increasing listing of journals on the ICMJE website: is it a trap to attract more submissions?
Pravin Bolshete, Sqarona Medical Communications LLP, Navi Mumbai

**PM-065** Selective citation in the published literature on the association between diesel exhaust exposure and lung cancer risk: a citation analysis
Bram Duyx, Maastricht University, Maastricht

**PM-066** Confronting research misconduct in unregulated research
Lisa Rasmussen, University of North Carolina, Charlotte

**PM-067** Do papers report information needed to assess the risk of bias?
A systematic review of papers using common animal models to study preeclampsia
Tracey Weissgerber, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin

**PM-068** A question of seriousness: measuring integrity breaches in an Australian context
Rebecca Halligan, University of Sydney, Sydney

**PM-069** When research misconduct involves potential criminal behavior: new collaboration strengthens protection of US biomedical research funding
Wanda Jones, HHS Office of Research Integrity, Rockville

**PM-070** Managing research misconduct: the European Research Council (ERC) – strategy and practice
Laura Pontiggia, European Research Council, Brussels

**PM-071** Bibliometric and linguistic analysis of research integrity and research ethics concepts in articles: a cross sectional study of three bibliographical databases
Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

**Investigating research misconduct 2**

Chair: Nicole Föger

**PT-072** Self-reported and observed breaches of FFP and QRP among Norwegian researchers
Matthias Kaiser, University of Bergen, Bergen

**PT-073** Identifying potential research integrity risks through bibliometric analysis of institutional review board cases
Michael Khor, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
PT-074 Research misconduct in doctoral theses in China: types, causes and governance
Zi-yu LIU, China Agricultural University, Beijing

PT-075 Virtue and vice in the prevention of research misconduct
David Misselbrook, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland Bahrain, Manama

PT-076 Factors influencing understanding of informed consent among people living infected HIV (PLHIV) in pharmacogenetic research: a case study of Infectious Diseases Institute, Uganda
Sylvia Nabukenya, Infectious Diseases Institute, Kampala

PT-077 Development of a list of ‘Points of Consideration’ for standardizing investigation of research misconduct in Japan
Rei Nouchi, Shinshu University, Matsumoto

PT-078 A toolkit for promoting responsible conduct in research-creation (RCRC)
Cynthia Noury, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), Montréal

PT-079 Research integrity in the wake of misconduct: a multifaceted, center-wide effort
Christian Simon, Duke University, Durham

PT-080 Spin and outcome reporting bias in randomized controlled trials of psychological interventions on depression
Mariene Stoll, Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz

PT-082 Parallel systems of accountability for research misconduct
John Thomas, Healy Hafemann Magee, Roanoke

PT-083 Chinese large-scale withdrawal and challenges for global periodical governance
Yang Wang, Huaqiao University, Xiamen

Perceptions/attitudes 1

Chair: Francis Kombe

PM-084 The public comment period of state medicaid guidance: who speaks?
Rosa Ahn, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland

PM-085 Dishonesty in research: reflections of doctoral students in selected universities in southwest Nigeria
Taofeek Kolawole Aliyu, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife

PM-086 Are success indicators threatening integrity? Results from interviews and focus groups with diverse research actors
Noémi Aubert Bonn, Hasselt University, Hasselt

PM-087 Exploring the experiences of undergraduate students to research ethic misconduct in a Nigerian university
Tolulope Adetayo Fajobi, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife

PM-088 Dishonest marking of scientific research fund projects in papers: a serious behavior that should be recognized as academic misconduct
Lei Han, Shandong Medical Journal, Jinan

PM-089 Perceptions of research integrity climate differ between academic ranks and disciplinary fields - results from a survey among academic researchers in Amsterdam
Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam
PM-090 Ethical perspectives in use of personal data from medical records for health research
Vijaya Hegde, A.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore

PM-091 More equal than others? An annoying habit
Markus Heinemann, Mainz University Hospital, Mainz

PM-093 Knowledge and attitude of Ethics Committee (EC) members regarding bioethics
Shamima Lasker, MH Somorita Medical College, Dhaka

PM-094 Associations between certain factors and attitudes with self-reported episodes of research misconduct
Henry Silverman, University of Maryland, Baltimore

PM-095 Perspectives of key stakeholders on essential virtues for good scientific practice in research
Vicko Tomić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

Perceptions/attitudes 2

Chair: Danny Chan

PT-096 Political and economic considerations on research integrity: a vision from Latin American countries
Sergio Litewka, University of Miami, Miami

PT-097 The research survey for NSTDA research integrity
Thanyathon Niyomthai, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Bangkok

PT-098 Perceptions regarding clinical trials among ethical committee members of ayurvedic institutions of India: an exploratory study
Preethi Poonja, A.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore

PT-099 Scientific misconduct: everyone’s problem? Proposal to promote research integrity in the University
Estela Quiroz, Federico Villarreal National University, Lima

PT-100 An online survey highlighting research practices among doctors in India
Akanksha Rathi, Dr Baba Saheb Ambedkar Medical College & Hospital, New Delhi

PT-101 Research misconduct: a global concern
Debra Schaller-Demers, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York

PT-102 Media and social media attention to retracted articles according to Altmetric
Stylianos Serghiou, Stanford University, Stanford

PT-103 Exploring the attitudes of engineering faculty members and students towards plagiarism of scientific publication in Uttar Pradesh state, India: a questionnaire & cross sectional survey study
Manvendra Singh, HMFA MIET, Abdul Kalam Technical University, Handia, Allahabad

PT-104 Student perspectives on research integrity training as expressed in embedded poll questions
Nicholas H. Steneck, Retired, Ann Arbor

PT-105 A cross-institutional survey on research integrity among research postgraduate students in Hong Kong and Taiwan
Wai Lan Tsang, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

PT-106 Research ethics and research integrity: a systematic review of published cases
Anna Catharina Vieira Armond, University of Debrecen, Debrecen
A survey of tertiary hospital new employees’ awareness and attitude on scientific integrity
Lingling Yu, Beijing Hospital, Beijing

Publication ethics/reproducibility 1

Chair: Chris Graf

PM-108 Equal co-lead authorship: a(nother) reason for contributor listings
Samuel Bruton, The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg

PM-109 Ignorance and abuse of authorship criteria have increased over 15 years
Evelyne Decullier, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon

PM-110 Mass pre-registered replications project of classic findings in judgment and decision-making
Gilad Feldman, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

PM-111 With great CRedit comes great responsibility: researcher response to CRedit across >200 Elsevier journals in all research disciplines
Catriona Fennell, Elsevier, Amsterdam

PM-112 Editorial advice did not improve poor statistical reporting, inadequate data presentation and spin in two major biomedical journals
Simon Gandevia, Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney

PM-113 A systematic review of literature on ethical issues in scientific authorship, mentioned causes and offered solutions
Mohammad Hosseini, Dublin City University, Dublin

PM-114 Gender and publication statistics, institutional bibliometric analysis in Dublin City University
Mohammad Hosseini, Dublin City University, Dublin

PM-115 Repeating probability of authors with retracted scientific publications
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo

PM-116 Impact of editorial and peer review on study limitation reporting in randomised trial reports
Gerben Ter Riet, Amsterdam University Medical Center & Univ. Applied Sciences, Amsterdam

PM-117 Do journals instruct authors on research integrity topics? A cross-sectional study across all disciplines
Gerben ter Riet, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam

PM-118 Publishing ethics in developing countries: education, awareness and norms
Helen Yuehong Zhang, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou

PM-119 Reporting research integrity concerns to institutions at an open access journal publisher
Matt Hodgkinson, Hindawi, London

Publication ethics/reproducibility 2

Chair: Sergio Litewka

PT-120 Early stage publication strategies: will they work?
Lone Bredahl Jensen, University of Southern Denmark, Odense

PT-121 Abstract reporting bias: how our preference to report positive findings in an abstract could bias systematic reviews
Bram Duyx, Maastricht University, Maastricht
PT-122 Publication pressure among academic researchers in Amsterdam
Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam

PT-123 Increasing reproducibility – recommendations by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Foundation)
Kirsten Hüttemann, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Bonn

PT-124 Epistemic and sectoral differences in the valuation of ownership and authorship
Peter Lutz, Maastricht University, Maastricht

PT-125 Trends in text-overlap: a publisher’s perspective
Gearóid Ó Faoleáin, Frontiers, Lausanne

PT-126 Plagiarism in biomedical and social science papers of one Colombian university
Juan Guillermo Pérez, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá

PT-127 The Null Hypothesis Initiative – promoting write up and publication of negative, inconclusive and replication studies
Sandra Petty, Center for Biomedical Research Transparency, New York

PT-128 The frequency of scientific plagiarism measured by a text matching software: a systematic review and meta-analysis
Vanja Pupovac, University of Rijeka, Rijeka

PT-129 African biomedical journals: survey of policies and author guidelines
Anke Rohwer, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town

PT-130 Privacy issues and citation from non-academic online sources: a case study of the online flaming incident in Japan
Reina Saijo, Kyoto University of Art and Design, Kyoto

PT-131 Retraction: the “other face” of research collaboration
Li Tang, Fudan University, Shanghai

PT-132 Selective citation is present in epidemiological studies on phthalates: a citation analysis
Miriam Urlings, Maastricht University, Maastricht

Tackling unethical practices 1
Chair: Iekuni Ichikawa

PM-133 Evidence pyramid on the verge of collapse: a systematic review and meta-analysis iatrogenics
Sivakumar Arunachalam, International Medical University, Kuala Lumpur

PM-134 Can presentation vocabulary influence the perception of research misconduct: a randomized study
Ivan Buljan, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

PM-135 Reflections on handling research misconduct complaints: the HKU experience
Bethany Chan, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

PM-136 GOT-IT – new guidelines on target validation for innovative therapeutics
Christoph Emmerich, PAASP GmbH, Heidelberg

PM-137 The psychological predictors (motivations) support opinion of researchers to make fake in scientific works
Eduard Iskhakov, Ufa Law Institute, Ufa
| PM-138 | Standardizing the ethical management of clinical research papers published in Chinese pediatric academic journals  
Yulian Jiang, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu |
| PM-139 | Best practices in management of research integrity: lessons from a South African university  
Eunice Karanja Kamaara, Moi University, Eldoret |
| PM-140 | Stemming the tide of plagiarism in Nigeria: advocacy by the Nigerian Young Academy  
Mutiu Idowu Kazeem, Lagos State University, Lagos |
| PM-141 | Research misconduct in medical profession in India: reasons and solutions  
Charu Kohli, North Delhi Municipal Corporation Medical College and Hindu Rao Hospital, Delhi |
| PM-142 | Impact of China's new policy on strengthening research integrity  
Xiaoyong Shi, National Center for Science and Technology Evaluation of China, Beijing |
| PM-143 | How differently do we define and handle research misconducts?  
Dan Li, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels |

### Tackling unethical practices 2

**Chair:** Ana Marušić

| PT-144 | New classification of research misconduct from the viewpoint of betrayal of truth and trust  
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo |
| PT-145 | Twelve countermeasures to research misconduct at the levels of researchers, laboratories, organizations and society  
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo |
| PT-146 | Potential harms/ risks in implementation research in Papua New Guinea  
Helen Palik, Universitas Gadjah Madah, Jogja |
| PT-147 | The state of the global discussion on questionable research practices: a bibliometric analysis  
Jesper Schneider, Aarhus University, Aarhus |
| PT-148 | Authorship: professional, ethical and operational issues  
Lalit Sharma, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Solan |
| PT-149 | Publish or perish: research integrity in Indian academics  
Udaya Pratap Singh, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad |
| PT-150 | Statistical integrity in biomedical research – a questionable practice/conduct  
Ravinder Kumar Soni, Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana |
| PT-151 | Managing research misconduct: national institutional structures for research integrity in 23 countries in Europe with a comparsion of the Austrian and Luxembourgish structures  
Engeline Grace Van Arkel, ENRIO / ENERI, Amsterdam |
| PT-152 | Interventions for ethical climate: a scoping review  
Marin Vidak, University of Split School of Medicine, Split |
| PT-153 | Internal versus external approaches for research integrity program evaluation: reflections following a self-initiated review  
Shannon Smith, Macquarie University, Sydney |
| PT-154 | Why are undergraduates vulnerable on academic integrity violations in Taiwan? Poor writing skills may be the key  
Zhen Rong Gan, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan |
## Transparency 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM-155 Did awarding badges increase data sharing at BMJ Open? A randomised controlled trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Aldcroft, BMJ Open, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-156 A French new agency, the French Office of Scientific Integrity (OFIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joëlle Alnot, HCERES, Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-157 Scientific integrity and animal welfare: status of animal research legislation in South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noelia Angulo, US Naval Medical Research Unit 6, Lima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-158 Research integrity components in ethics codes, a review of Peruvian universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariela Dejo, Universidad de Lima, Lima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-159 The Hong Kong shareability of Hong Kong research experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Edmunds, GigaScience/BGI Hong Kong, Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-160 Research data management – does one funding regulation fit all?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiences of the German Research Foundation (DFG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreas Goerlich, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-161 Implementation of Data Management Planning (DMP) as a key tool in the promotion of good research practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su Nee Goh, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-162 Chinese-European research collaborations and the protection of personal data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Østbø Haugen, The Norwegian National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities (NESH), Oslo/Guangzhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-163 Endorsement of financial conflict of interest disclosure by peer-reviewers in China: a survey of 2,130 reviewers from Chinese Medical Association Publishing House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuanyuan Ji, Chinese Medical Association, Beijing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-164 Beyond the dual use divide – strengthening Europe’s innovation potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens, Athens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-165 How regulation-compliant informed consent processes misinform research participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Yarborough, University of California Davis, Sacramento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-166 With what frequency do research ethics review committees deserve the public’s trust? The evidence suggests that too often that trust is misplaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Yarborough, University of California Davis, Sacramento</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Transparency 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PT-167 Improvement of a transparency indicator for tracking clinical trials’ publications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evelyne Decullier, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-168 Clinical innovation practices and research integrity at Macquarie University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yordanka Krastev, Macquarie University, Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-169 ILSI’s journey in scientific integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Kretser, ILSI North America, Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-170 Concept analysis of shared decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tingru Lin, National Taipei University of Nursing and Health Sciences, Taipei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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**Lion Dance at the End of Plenary A on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)**
The opening plenary (Plenary A) will be followed by a short lion dance performance. In Chinese culture, the lion symbolizes wisdom and superiority. The lion dance mixes Chinese traditional dance and martial arts, and performers mimic a lion’s movement in a lion costume to bring prosperity and good luck.

**Welcome Reception on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)**
Drinks and finger food will be served at the welcome reception, which will take place at 6pm in the foyer of the Grand Hall after Plenary A. A variety of traditional Chinese arts and crafts will be showcased in a cultural fair in rooms LG.08 and 09 adjacent to the foyer. Participants will also be able to taste classic Hong Kong snacks from some of the booths.

**Banquet Dinner and Boat Trip on June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)**
The banquet dinner will be held at the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre, Kai Tak Cruise Terminal. The Kai Tak Cruise Terminal is built on the runway of the Kai Tak Airport, the former airport of Hong Kong (1925-1998). The terminal carries on the legacy of the “Kai Tak” name – an iconic symbol and a part of Hong Kong’s heritage. Located in the middle of Hong Kong’s magnificent Victoria Harbour, participants can enjoy an unobstructed panoramic view of the spectacular Hong Kong skyline from the viewing platform of the Rooftop Park above the Banquet Centre.

Experiencing Hong Kong’s dazzling harbour on a traditional Hong Kong style ferry is one of the best ways to enjoy the magnificent views offered by the city’s skyline. Participants may register to join the boat trip to the banquet dinner location. Coaches will be arranged to take participants from the conference venue to the pier, followed by a boat trip of around 50 minutes from the western side to the eastern side of Victoria Harbour. After the boat trip, a 15-minute walk is required from the pier to the dinner venue.

The vessels are insured (Third Party Liability), as required by the Hong Kong Marine Department. However, neither the ferry company nor the owner of the vessels is responsible for any personal injury or loss of personal belongings during the period of the use of the vessel. Participants are advised to arrange their own insurance. In case of bad weather, the boat trip will be cancelled and coaches will take the participants to the dinner venue direct.
For participants who will not join the boat trip, coaches will be arranged from the conference venue to the banquet dinner location*. You may also arrange your own transportation to go to the dinner venue. The instructions are provided at http://www.kaitakcruiseterminal.com.hk/facilities/getting-here/.

For participants and accompanying persons who have registered for the boat trip and/or banquet dinner, you will receive admission ticket(s) at the Registration Desk upon arrival. Please bring your ticket to join the boat trip and banquet dinner.

Time schedule
4:45 pm - 5:15 pm: participants to board the coaches at HKU
5:15 pm - 5:30pm: travel from HKU to pier
5:30 pm - 6:20 pm: boat trip
6:20 pm - 6:35pm: walk from pier to the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre
6:35 pm - 7:15pm: cocktail reception
7:15 pm - 9:30pm: banquet dinner
9:30 pm: coaches depart from banquet dinner location to Hotel Jen/HKU MTR station

* Participants who have registered to go to the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre by coach have to gather near the Registration Desk at 5:30pm.
To coincide with 6th WCRI 2019, Lancet Editors have handpicked a selection of content related to research integrity, which is freely accessible to read exclusively at www.thelancet.com/wcri19 through June 30, 2019.
The 6th WCRI Co-Chairs and Programme Committee thank all sponsors for their generous contributions. (The sponsors are listed on pages 2 and 59.)
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